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Introduction
Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction is one of the most studied surgeries performed within any surgical specialty. The focus of much of this research has been related to graft selection and its impact on clinical outcomes. Favorable characteristics of graft options for ACL reconstruction include those that have similar structural and biomechanical characteristics of the native ligament, allow for secure fixation, permit rapid biologic incorporation, and have limited donor site morbidity. Ideally, the graft selected should allow for early, active rehabilitation and maintain its biomechanical properties and strength until full graft incorporation and biologic maturation. Ultimately, any graft construct should approximate or exceed the physical and mechanical properties of the native ACL. (Table 1)

There are many graft sources available to the surgeon, and these can be broadly categorized into autografts and allografts. Autologous grafts can be harvested from the ipsilateral or contralateral patellar tendon, the semitendonosis and gracilis tendons, or from the quadriceps tendon with or without a bone plug. Allografts typically used for ACL reconstruction include cadaveric forms of these same types of autologous grafts as well as tibialis anterior and Achilles tendon grafts.

Many surgeons prefer a certain graft based on their training and observed clinical outcomes in their practice. Regardless of personal preference, however, each graft has relative advantages and disadvantages and should be appropriately and individually considered based on patient age, size, activity level, pain tolerance, and return to sport goals. The goal of this chapter is to review the peer-reviewed literature on ACL graft sources and allow the surgeon to make an educated decision with his or her patients as to the appropriate graft for each individual patient.

Autograft
Bone patellar tendon bone (BTB) autograft and hamstring autograft are two of the most popular graft sources for ACL reconstruction. Figure 1. These grafts have reported donor site morbidity; however, most surgeons would agree that the risk of catastrophic failure with allograft may outweigh the risks of donor site morbidity in the young, active patient. The quadriceps tendon autograft is not as widely used, but is favored by some authors, particularly in the revision setting, due to its large cross-sectional area and the presence of a bone plug. Table 1, Figure 1. Use of autografts have been shown to decrease retear rates substantially in the younger population, although in all patients had a failure rate of 3.5% compared with 8.9% in patients in which allografts were used.  

Bone-Tendon-Bone.

The central third of the patellar tendon along with bone plugs from the patella and the tibial tubercle is considered the “gold standard” by many surgeons. Bone-patellar tendon-bone (BTB or BPTB) grafts allow for bone-to-bone healing within the tunnels, have favorable time-zero biomechanical strength, and have a longstanding track record of good-to-excellent clinical outcomes.

BTB grafts have the advantage of bone-to-bone healing, which has been shown to be faster and more reliable than tendon to bone healing. Histologic studies have revealed that osteonecrosis occurs at the graft-tunnel interface, followed by creeping substitution and rapid incorporation into surrounding host bone. Dense fibrous tissue surrounds the bone block at 3 weeks with complete incorporation of the grafted bone to the host bone by 6 weeks. The intact ACL has an ultimate tensile load, stiffness, and cross-sectional area of 2160 Newtons (N), 242 N/mm, and 44 mm², respectively. A 10mm wide BTB graft was found to have an ultimate tensile load, stiffness, and cross-sectional area of 2977 N, 620 N/mm, and 35mm², respectively. Thus the BTB autograft has the intrinsic properties to allow immediate active rehabilitation, and these favorable biomechanical properties combined with bone to bone healing and rigid, aperture fixation with interference screws account for the graft’s excellent initial and continued long term performance.

Regardless of the bone tunnel healing, a ligamentization process must occur, which entails an initial phase of acellular and avascular necrosis with the collagen scaffold remaining intact. The second phase is repopulation by host synovial cells, followed by revascularization and maturation. By 1 month post-operative the graft is completely acellular but has intact collagen, and at 3 months cellular repopulation with some vascular proliferation is seen. At 6 months the number of cells is closer to a normal ligament, and at 9 months the intrarticular portion of the graft is histologically similar to native ACL. Therefore, the bone to bone healing provided by the BTB graft helps prevent initial graft slippage and healing within the bone tunnel allowing safe resumption of activities of daily living, but the process of ligamentization proceeds independent of the graft-tunnel healing characteristics.

Length and width of BTB autografts can be adjusted to match patient size and footprint anatomy and may be an advantage compared to hamstring autograft. Depending on the size of the patient's patellar tendon, in some cases a 12mm graft can be obtained while still safely retaining sufficient
tendon on either side of the graft. Also, while the surgeon is relatively limited on the size of the bone plug that can be taken from the patella, the tibial bone plug can be widened or lengthened to fill larger tunnels in revision setting or to help prevent graft tunnel mismatch. The length of the soft tissue component cannot be adjusted and thus, if the tendon is extremely long or extremely short, the surgeon must be prepared with different fixation options for graft-tunnel mismatch.

BTB autografts have been used for years with excellent results at long term follow-up. A Cochrane review comparing patellar tendon to hamstring autografts, with the search criteria of randomized or quasi-randomized controlled trials, demonstrated that static stability testing in the form of Lachman, Pivot Shift, and instrumented laxity favored BTB grafts over hamstring grafts. In the same critical review, no differences were found between the graft sources when evaluating single leg hop, return to activity, Tegner score, Lysholm score, IKDC, or re-tear rates. Another recent systematic review of level I studies found a higher risk of failure in hamstrings autografts compared with BTB autografts, and only one of five studies found a higher likelihood of anterior knee complaints in patients in which BTB autografts were used.

Patellar tendon autograft does have several disadvantages that have been described in the literature. First, the bone-patellar tendon-bone graft is a fixed length graft and, as such, is vulnerable to graft-tunnel mismatch when tunnel length is not accordingly adjusted. There are subtle intraoperative maneuvers, however, (recessing the femoral bone plug, drilling a longer tibial tunnel, graft rotation) that can reduce the impact of graft-tunnel mismatch. Graft-tunnel mismatch may be more problematic with anatomic ACL reconstruction where the femoral tunnel is placed low on the lateral wall of the femoral notch, reducing the intra-articular graft length relative to previous, vertical graft configurations. The surgeon should be particularly aware of this problem with back-up fixation options available in the setting of patella alta or baja. Graft-tunnel mismatch is not an issue with soft tissue grafts such as hamstrings or quad tendons as they can be readily shortened to the desired length.

Another well documented disadvantage of BTB autografts is a higher incidence of anterior knee pain. Dissatisfaction and potential inability to return to work have been reported in patients that perform kneeling as part of their occupation or religion. Other conditions that may preclude use of a BTB autograft include Osgood-Schlatter or patellar tendinosis or tendonitis; however, there is no good data to recommend against BTB use in this population. A Cochrane review noted more anterior knee discomfort, especially with kneeling after BTB autografts. BTB grafts had a clinically insignificant loss of extension, whereas hamstring reconstructions had a trend towards a loss of knee flexion. BTB grafts demonstrated a trend towards reduced extension strength, while hamstrings grafts had a statistically significant reduction in knee flexion strength.

Patella fracture is another concern following ACL reconstruction using BTB autograft. Stein et al reported an incidence of patella fracture following graft harvest of 1.3%; however, these all occurred at least 3 weeks post-operatively. Another study reported only 2 of 1725 ACL reconstruction (one
intra-operative and one post-operative). While the incidence is low, there is a risk that should be discussed when counseling the patient regarding graft choice. The surgeon can minimize risk of this by assuring a bone plug no more than half the length of the patella is taken, making cuts angled and no more than 10 mm deep, avoiding cross-hatching at the corners, and creating a trapezoidal cut rather than triangular or square. Typically, fractures are vertically oriented and thus are less likely to completely disrupt the extensor mechanism. These fractures can be treated non-operatively if minimally displaced and with a preserved extensor mechanism. Transverse fractures can also occur as well and may be more likely to require fixation. These may often result from postoperative trauma or aggressive rehabilitation in the setting of a significant stress riser.

In the pediatric population, many surgeons believe that the use of BTB autografts in patients with open physes can result in angular deformity or apophyseal closure. However, several recent studies have demonstrated that this may not be the case. In a recent study, using BTB autografts that traversed the open physes in patients Tanner Stage 3 or greater found no growth disturbance; however fixation was placed extraphyseal. This is likely less of an issue with the tibia as the graft is placed more central within the physes. The more vertical the tunnel, the more circular the aperture, reducing the cross-sectional area of physeal injury. Furthermore, a centrally positioned physeal injury or bar resulting from graft placement may restrict growth but will minimize the risk of angular deformity. However, when the femoral tunnel is placed anatomic, the tunnel is oblique and affects more total volume of the physes. A single bundle anatomic reconstruction is not likely to affect more than 7% of the physes, which has been shown to be the critical amount for increased risk of partial physeal closure in an animal model. The risk of angular deformity obviously lessens the closer the patient is to skeletal maturity.

Hamstrings: Hamstring grafts are the other most common autograft used for a variety of reasons. Need some statement about the width, stiffness, UTS, etc. similar to what you have for the BTB auto. The gracilis and semitendinosus are typically harvested separately and then combined and doubled over themselves to create a 4-strand graft. Some authors describe creating 5 or 6-strand grafts to improve strength. Hamstring grafts are typically stretched in order to remove some of the creep in the tendons prior to inserting and tensioning the graft. There are numerous fixation techniques and products available for soft tissue grafts, which include in broad categories: cortical suspensory fixation, aperture interference fixation, and a combination of these methods.

One advantage of hamstrings autograft fixation is the cosmesis. As the surgeon becomes more familiar with this technique a smaller incision can be used, which can be almost as small as an allograft incision. The location of the semitendinosus and gracilis tendons’ insertion conveniently is in the same location as the starting point for the tibial tunnel, and thus the same incision can be used for both graft harvest and tunnel drilling.

Another advantage of hamstrings grafts is that it avoids the disadvantages reported for bone patellar bone autograft. In a long term follow-up study, hamstrings autografts were found to have better IKDC, kneeling, knee walking, and single leg hop compared to BTB autografts; however,
hamstrings grafts did have inferior knee flexion strength. This study found no difference in range of motion, KT-1000 anthropometric data, or pivot shift examination.\textsuperscript{62} At an average of 86 months follow-up, another study found no difference in osteoarthritis, Lachman and anthropometric testing, kneeling, IKDC, Tegner, and single leg hop testing between patellar tendon and hamstrings grafts. This study did find that hamstring tendon graft patients were better in knee walking and Lysholm scores.\textsuperscript{31} Another long-term follow-up study\textsuperscript{29} found superior results in the hamstring tendon group in terms of osteoarthritis, motion loss, single leg hop, and kneeling pain, but no difference in IKDC scores. The authors also found that 17% of their hamstrings reconstructions failed while only 8% of their BTB grafts failed.\textsuperscript{29} Thus, hamstring grafts may be a better option in patients at risk of anterior knee pain or with professional demands that require extensive kneeling.

Soft tissue grafts are also favored by several authors for a transphyseal approach in skeletally immature patients to minimize the risk of bar formation and a secondary growth deformity. Bone-tendon-bone grafts can risk injury the tibial tubercle apophysis, resulting in a severe recurvatum deformity. Bone plug placement across the physis can also increase the risk of bar formation and an angular growth deformity. Kocher et al retrospectively reviewed 59 Tanner stage 3 patients in which hamstring trans-physeal ACL reconstruction was used. The reported only 2 late failures secondary to reinjury, and no growth disturbance (both angular and leg length inequality).\textsuperscript{22} There are likely more factors than simply graft selection that play a role in whether or not premature physeal closure occurs, including placement of the tunnels. A recent study demonstrated that the more anatomic or oblique the tunnel is made, the greater volumetric destruction of the physis. In this regard, a relatively central and vertical tunnel trajectory into the anatomic ligament footprint is favorable to minimize the volume of physeal injury.\textsuperscript{49}

Less post-operative pain is another commonly cited advantage of hamstring tendon use by proponents of this technique. A study of the early post-operative morbidity associated with ACL reconstruction demonstrated significantly better pain scores in hamstrings compared to patellar tendon group up to 8 weeks post-operatively; however, the differences were relatively small and the clinical significance was questioned by the authors.\textsuperscript{5} While the incision is typically smaller, and there is less bone destruction involved with hamstring graft harvest, there is a paucity of literature supporting this difference in early post-operative pain reduction.

Hamstrings tendon grafts, however, are not without their disadvantages. Hamstring tendon grafts also may increase in laxity over time. When reviewing the literature related to hamstring tendon autograft, however, one must pay particular attention to the number of graft strands. In the 6 manuscripts included in a recent systematic review, both of the two studies evaluating 2-strand hamstring grafts demonstrated increased laxity compared to patellar tendon grafts; whereas, only one of the 4 comparing quadrupled hamstring grafts to patellar tendons was able to find a statistical difference in laxity at follow-up.\textsuperscript{44}
Another disadvantage to using hamstrings tendon grafts is that soft-tissue to bone healing takes longer than bone-to-bone healing and is less reliable. The intact ACL inserts into bone via direct insertion, which has 4 zones: tendon, unmineralized fibrocartilage, mineralized fibrocartilage, and bone. The fibrocartilage has a mixture of collagen II, IX, X, and XI, with type X being the most significant. ACL tendon-bone healing occurs with a layer of fibrovascular scar between tendon and bone at graft-tunnel interface. This eventually organizes into perpendicular fibers that resemble Sharpey’s fibers. Presence and number of these fibers are directly correlated with pull-out strength. This process takes approximately 12 weeks, until which time hamstrings autografts demonstrated reduced ultimate failure load when compared to BTB autografts. Several other studies have demonstrated similar results with soft tissue autografts taking longer to fully incorporate as compared to the bone-patellar tendon-bone autograft. Soft tissue grafts may also have a propensity to cause tunnel widening. However, this is unknown to be a function of the soft tissue graft or the suspensory fixation often used with soft tissue grafts. Likely, tunnel expansion is caused by a variety of factors, including graft micromotion, stress shielding, drill-related necrosis, accelerated rehabilitation, bone resorption and remodeling, graft swelling, synovial fluid propagation, and increased synovial cytokine production. However, there is little evidence that tunnel widening affects clinical or arthrometric outcomes following ACL reconstruction. Likely, the only clinical significance of tunnel widening is at the time of revision when vastly expanded tunnels may compromise graft fixation necessitating a two-stage revision approach.

Many studies evaluating the morbidity of hamstring tendon harvest have demonstrated reduced knee flexion strength compared with the contralateral extremity, but similar to the loss of extension strength seen in some studies after BTB autografts. It is unknown if this difference in clinically significant. Weakness in the knee flexors has been associated with inferior knee function in ACL-deficient knees likely due to the hamstrings role as an ACL agonist and dynamic muscle protector. However, in ACL reconstructed knees, a flexion strength deficit occurs but has no effect on IKDC scores.

**Quadriceps tendon**

The quadriceps tendon graft is a less common but viable graft option for primary and, perhaps more commonly, revision ACL reconstructive surgery. This graft does have several theoretical advantages. The large cross-sectional area affords a favorable time-zero biomechanical strength and is particularly useful to fill expanded tunnels at the time of a single-stage revision ACL reconstruction. While both quadriceps tendon and patellar tendon grafts are stronger than the native ACL, several studies have demonstrated weaker mechanical characteristics for the quadriceps tendon graft when compared to a 10mm patellar tendon autograft.

Another advantage of the quadriceps tendon graft is that it can be harvested with a bone plug from the superior pole of the patella and thus bone-to-bone healing can occur at one of the tunnels. Also, the length of the graft can be adjusted more easily than can a BTB autograft, avoiding
concerns and risks of graft-tunnel mismatch. The quadriceps graft can also be used in adolescents with open growth plates as it would not violate the tibial tubercle apophysis.

The major disadvantage to the patellar tendon graft is the possible complication of anterior knee pain. This is a “catch-all” term that describes many different diagnoses and clinical problems, some of which are scar sensitivity, fat pad herniation, and neuroma of the infrapatellar branch of the saphenous nerve. By moving the incision for graft harvest superior to the patella as in the quadriceps tendon graft harvest, the patient may no longer have to kneel directly on the scar. Also, an incision at the superior pole of the patella should not affect the saphenous nerve and will not affect the fat pad. Also, a smaller proportion of the extensor mechanism is disrupted, which could potentially prevent some of the extension weakness or extension loss noted in some studies evaluating patellar tendon. However, the quadriceps graft has not been studied as extensively as the patellar tendon graft, and it is therefore difficult to directly compare it to the more commonly used patellar tendon and hamstring grafts.

The major disadvantage of the quadriceps graft is the lack of level I clinical studies with long-term follow-up that can demonstrate no functional loss of extensor mechanism strength, similar graft incorporation and longevity as the patellar tendon graft, and minimal donor site morbidity or complications related to the graft harvest. Future studies are necessary to define these outcomes and the role of quadriceps graft in primary ACL reconstruction. It is clear, however, that this graft does have several advantages and is the favored autograft option for revision ACL surgery in the setting of well-placed but expanded sockets for which tunnel fill is critical.

Allograft:
The reduced pain and morbidity along with the reliable clinical results of allograft in the older population may favor its consideration as a graft choice in older or lower demand patients. With no harvest site morbidity, the surgeon can use a small incision and the muscle weakness, kneeling pain, and risk of patellar fracture are minimized. Several different allograft sources are available, including: BTB (hemi or whole), Achilles, hamstrings, tibialis anterior, tibialis posterior and quadriceps tendon. Figure 1.

Allografts can be irradiated or non-irradiated; however, there is concern of compromised graft integrity and decreased time-zero biomechanical strength with irradiated grafts. Low dose irradiation < 20 kGy has been shown to affectively eliminate bacteria, but only irradiation greater than 30 kGy has been shown to eliminate both bacterial and viral pathogens. Irradiation greater than 20 kGy in a single dose causes substantial changes in the structural properties of the graft, which likely causes its inferior clinical outcomes. While the risk of contamination is greater with non-irradiated tissue, this may be negated with modern day nucleic acid testing and use of sterile processing. The current reported risk of transferring tissue from an HIV-infected donor is reported as between 1 and 4 in 1 million, and while the number of infected donors is unknown for Hepatitis B and C, there are more Americans infected with these viruses than HIV. Most grafts
currently undergo low dose irradiation that allows for the destruction of bacteria and rely on donor screening and nucleic acid testing to reduce the risk of viral contamination as irradiation at the level needed for viral elimination would significantly compromise graft biomechanical properties.

Allografts are used frequently in revision ACL surgery, especially when the surgeon’s “go to” graft has been used in the primary surgery. Large allografts, such as Achilles or quadriceps tendon, affords the additional advantage of a large cross-sectional area to fill large tunnels, have favorable time-zero biomechanical strength, and have a bone plug for bone-to-bone healing and fixation in at least a single tunnel. A study of the epidemiology of the Multicenter ACL revision study (MARS) cohort demonstrated that 54% of the surgeons used an allograft at the time of revision compared with 27% of the patients having had an allograft at the time of their primary reconstruction.63 BTB allografts were the most frequent allograft used in the MARS cohort at 50%, followed by tibialis anterior (23%), Achilles tendon (12%), and tibialis posterior (11%).63 However, a significant number of grafts were autografts at the time of revision (45%), of which 49% were BTB autografts and 40% quadrupled hamstrings autografts, indicating that many surgeons will still favor autografts when available in the revision setting, especially in the young, high demand athlete. Another common use for allograft is when more collagen is needed than can be obtained from autograft sources, such as the multiligament injured knee. Despite undergoing operative repair within 3 weeks from surgery, many surgeons are now recommending augmentation with additional collagen for lateral collateral or posterolateral injuries. Levy et al found a significantly increased incidence of failures when comparing repairs of the lateral collateral and posterolateral corner injuries compared to reconstructions using allografts.26-27 A combination of allografts can be used depending on the number of ligaments injured and reconstruction technique.

There are several disadvantages to allograft use, however, and the benefits of convenience and reduced donor site morbidity may come at the cost of less favorable healing and a greater risk of failure in the young athlete. First, there have been several studies that have shown that allograft tissue takes longer to incorporate.10 While no direct correlation between graft incorporation and clinical performance has been clearly shown, allograft tissue has without question demonstrated inferior performance in the younger patient population.19 Kaeding et al demonstrated a 2.3 times greater risk of graft rupture with allograft reconstructions for each 10-year decrease in age, with the highest risk being in those aged 10-19 years.19

Secondly, while the risk is small, there is still a potential risk of disease transmission. There currently is a lack of standardized protocols for testing and cleansing of allograft tissue. The risk can be minimized by using a reputable tissue bank and the surgeon must be fully aware of the protocol used by the tissue bank to assure it meets the standards outlined by the AAOS.

Allografts must undergo the same process of avascular necrosis, creeping substitution, and ligamentization as autografts and are repopulated by host synovial cells. Jackson et al found that donor DNA was replaced completely by host DNA by 4 weeks.17 While allografts heal by the same
process as their autograft counterparts (bone vs. tendon to bone healing), they do so at a much slower rate. Compared with autografts, allografts lose more of their time zero strength.\textsuperscript{15} At 6 months autografts had better restraint to AP translation, more cross sectional area, twice the load-to-failure strength, and more small-diameter collagen fibrils (more like normal).\textsuperscript{16} At the same time, typically allograft patients have less pain and are more likely to place additional stress on the reconstruction. Patients in which allograft was used for their ACL reconstruction should be counseled that the graft may take longer to fully incorporate and that longer protection may be warranted. This is another reason why surgeons may want to avoid using allograft in high-level athletes. A recent study produced by the MOON group demonstrated an overall retear rate of 8.9\% in allograft primary reconstructions compared with 3.5\% when autografts were used. This difference was more profound in the younger patient population with over a 10\% difference in failure rates in patients less than 18 years of age.\textsuperscript{19}

The surgeon has many choices when it comes to graft selection for ACL reconstruction. There are certain situations in which one graft may be favored over another, such as in the young, athletic population where autograft tissue should be used. However, there is good literature that excellent results can be achieved with each type of graft, and thus the surgeon must inform his or her patients of the advantages and disadvantages of each graft and help them make an informed decision. In summary, BTB autograft is generally accepted as the “gold standard” due to its biomechanical profile and reliable, fast bone-to-bone healing; however, hamstring tendons offer certain theoretical advantages in those that do a lot of kneeling, pre-existing patellofemoral pain, patella alta, or in those with open physes. Quadriceps tendon grafts have several advantages, including a large cross-sectional area and associated bone plug, but more long term, prospective studies must be done to determine its incorporation properties and ensure its long-term survival compared to patellar tendon and hamstring autografts. Allograft tissue is an excellent choice in many revision situations, in the older recreational athlete, and in those with low demands but need to return to work faster with less pain and dysfunction immediately post-operatively.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Ultimate Tensile Load (N)</th>
<th>Stiffness (N/mm)</th>
<th>Cross-sectional area (mm²)</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intact ACL</td>
<td>2160</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Bone-to-bone healing</td>
<td>Anterior knee pain, larger incision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone-Patellar-Tendon Bone (10mm)</td>
<td>2977</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadrupled Hamstring</td>
<td>4090</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Small incision, less anterior knee pain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadriceps Tendon</td>
<td>2352</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Bone-to-bone</td>
<td>Anterior knee pain,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10mm)(^{12,31})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>healing, thick, can be made into two bundles</td>
<td>larger incision, patella fracture if take bone plug, soft-tissue healing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patellar Tendon Allograft(^2)</td>
<td>1403</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>Bone-to-bone healing</td>
<td>Longer incorporation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achilles Allograft(^{29,33})</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>741(^3)</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>Longer incorporation, soft-tissue healing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibialis Anterior Allograft(^2)</td>
<td>3012</td>
<td>343</td>
<td></td>
<td>Longer incorporation, soft-tissue healing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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